Phase II trial of Neratinib and Capecitabine for Patients with Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Positive (HER2+) Breast Cancer Brain Metastases

Translational Breast Cancer Research Consortium (TBCRC) 022 NCT01494662 Abstract #1005

Rachel A. Freedman¹, Rebecca S. Gelman¹, Michelle E. Melisko², Carey K. Anders³, Beverly Moy⁴, Kimberly L. Blackwell⁵, Roisin M. Connolly⁶, Polly A. Niravath⁷, Catherine H. Van Poznak⁸, Shannon L. Puhalla⁹, Sarah Farooq¹, Anne M. Cropp¹, Christine M. Cotter¹, Minetta Liu¹⁰, Ian E. Krop¹, Julie Nangia¹¹, Nadine Tung¹², Antonio C. Wolff⁶, Eric P. Winer¹, Nancy U. Lin¹

¹Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, ²University of California at San Francisco, ³University of North Carolina, ⁴Massachusetts General Hospital, ⁵Duke University, ⁶Johns Hopkins University, ⁷Houston Methodist Hospital ⁸University of Michigan, ⁹University of Pittsburgh, ¹⁰Mayo Clinic, ¹¹Baylor College of Medicine, ¹²Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center

PRESENTED AT: ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17 #ASCO17 Presented by: Rachel A Freedman, MD, MPH Slides are the property of the author. Permission required for reuse.



Background

- Up to half of patients with metastatic HER2+ breast cancer will develop brain metastases
- Evidence-based treatments for CNS disease are limited, particularly when progression occurs after local therapy (e.g. SRS, WBRT, surgery)
- Recurrent CNS events remain a major source of patient morbidity
 and mortality

Lin, NU J Clin Oncol, 2004; Eichler AF, et al. Cancer, 2008; Gori S, et al Oncologist, 2007; Melisko ME J Neurooncol, 2008; Olson EM, et al. Breast, 2013; Pestalozzi BC et al Lancet Oncol 2013 (HERA trial data)



Neratinib

- Potent, oral, irreversible-binding inhibitor of the erbB family of receptor tyrosine kinases
 - Inhibits signal transduction through EGFR, HER2, HER4
- Active in *extra-cranial* disease as monotherapy¹
 - Objective response rate = 24% (prior trastuzumab-treated)
 - Objective response rate = 56% (no prior trastuzumab)
- Active systemically when combined with chemotherapy²⁻⁶
- Activity in CNS not well established but preclinical data suggest penetration

¹Burstein et al. J Clin Oncol, 2010; ²Awada A et al JAMA Oncol 2016; ³Chow LW et al Br J Cancer 2013, ⁴Awada A et al. Ann Oncol 2013; ⁵Saura C et al, J Clin Oncol, 2014; ⁶ Awada A et al JAMA Oncol 2016

PRESENTED AT: ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17 #ASCO17 Slides are the property of the author. Permission required for reuse.

TBCRC 022 Study Cohorts



PRESENTED AT: ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17 #ASCO17 Slides are the property of the author. Permission required for reuse.

TBCRC 022 Cohort 1: Neratinib Monotherapy

CNS volumetric responses observed but did not meet pre-specified threshold to prompt further investigation as monotherapy



Freedman et al. J Clin Oncol 2016; Table/Figure re-used with permission. © (2017) ASCO. All rights reserved.

TBCRC 022 Study Cohorts

All cohorts now closed to enrollment



PRESENTED AT: ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17 #ASCO17 Slides are the property of the author. Permission required for reuse.

Capecitabine is an Appealing Partner for Neratinib

| Trial | Response Rate | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| *CNS setting* | | | |
| LANDSCAPE ¹ – <u>CNS tx- naïve</u> Lapatinib + capecitabine | CNS Volumetric ORR = <u>67%</u> | | |
| EGF105084 ² - Prior CNS tx, prior lapatinib Lapatinib + capecitabine | CNS Volumetric ORR = 20% | | |
| Capecitabine + temozolomide ³ – Tx-naïve & prior tx | CNS Volumetric ORR = <u>18%</u> | | |
| *Non-CNS setting* | | | |
| Neratinib + capecitabine ⁴ | Extra-CNS ORR = <u>64%</u> | | |
| NALA – neratinib + capecitabine vs. lapatinib + capecitabine (no active CNS disease) | Enrolling | | |
| ¹ Bachelot T, et al Lancet Oncol, 2013; ² Lin N.U., et al CCR, 2009; ³ Rivera E et al Cancer 2006; ⁴ Saura C et al, J Clin Oncol, 2014 | | | |
| PRESENTED AT: ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17 #ASCO17 | | | |

Slides are the property of the author. Permission required for reuse.

Key Study Eligibility

Inclusion Criteria

- HER2+ metastatic breast cancer¹
- CNS progression (new or previously treated site) after ≥1 line of local CNS therapy
- Measurable disease: ≥ 1 CNS lesion ≥ 10 mm
- ECOG PS 0-2
- Adequate end-organ function
- Normal ejection fraction

Exclusion Criteria

- Prior capecitabine
- Prior lapatinib
- Leptomeningeal disease only
- Significant malabsorption or diarrhea syndrome
- Active escalation of steroids

Study Design



Study Endpoints

Primary

- CNS Objective Response Rate (ORR) according to composite (volumetric) criteria

<u>Secondary</u>

- CNS response by Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology-Brain Metastases (RANO-BM) Criteria¹
- Progression-free and overall survival
- Site of first progression
- Toxicity
- Correlative (forthcoming)
 - Molecular CTC studies for correlation with response and survival
 - cfDNA at baseline and at therapy discontinuation
 - Tissue, CSF, blood collections on cohort 2 patients for neratinib concentrations

PRESENTED AT: ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17 #ASCO17 Slides are the property of the author. Permission required for reuse.

¹ Lin NU, et al. Lancet Oncology, 2015

Requirements for Partial Response

| Qualifying Critoria | Primary Endpoint | Secondary Endpoint |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| Qualifying Criteria | Composite Criteria | RANO-BM Criteria |
| Brain lesions | | |
| Target | ≥ 50% ↓ volume | ≥30% ↓ sum longest diameter (LD) (w/ confirmation ≥4 weeks later) |
| Non-target | None / CR | None / no progression |
| New | None | |
| Steroids | Stable or ↓ | |
| Clinical status | Stable or improving neurological signs and symptoms | Stable or improving clinical status |
| Systemic disease (RECIST) | No progression | Calculated separately |

PRESENTED AT: ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17 #ASCO17 Slides are the property of the author. Permission required for reuse.

Presented by:

Statistical Considerations – 1° Endpoint

- CNS volumetric ORR assessed by central review at Tumor Imaging Metrics Core (Boston, MA) and by local evaluation of non-CNS imaging (RECIST 1.1), neurologic symptoms, steroid dosing
- Simon two stage design [H_o=15%, H_A=35%]
 If ≥ 5/19 respond→ enroll 16 additional pts [achieved]
- Worthy of further study if $\geq 9/35$ respond (ORR $\geq 26\%$)
 - To assess whether the CNS ORR of the combination is more promising than anticipated (historical) ORR for capecitabine alone

| Probability of | If true CNS ORR=15% | If true CNS ORR=35% |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| stopping trial early | 0.86 | 0.15 |
| deeming worthy of further study | 0.05 | 0.80 |

Study Status

- Enrolled during 4/22/14 11/16/16
- 11 TBCRC sites
- 37 patients initiated protocol therapy
 - Median # of initiated cycles = 6 (range 1-30)
 - 7 patients (19%) received 10+ cycles
 - 3 patients remain on therapy as of 4/1/17
 - On cycles 25, 8, 9

• Results based on all data available as of 4/1/17

| Enroning indexe ones |
|----------------------|
| Dana-Farber/Harvard |
| Cancer Center |
| Johns Hopkins |
| UCSF |
| Baylor |
| U Michigan |
| Duke |
| UPMC |
| Мауо |
| MD Anderson |
| UNC |
| Georgetown |

Enrolling TPCPC Site

Patient Characteristics (n=37)

| Baseline Patient Characteristic | N (%) |
|---|---------------------------|
| Age (years) | Median = 53 (range 31-64) |
| Race | |
| White | 32 (86) |
| Asian | 2 (5) |
| Black | 1 (3) |
| More than 1 race/other | 2 (5) |
| Primary tumor ER status | |
| Negative (incl. 1 borderline) | 21 (57) |
| Positive | 16 (43) |
| ECOG PS | |
| 0 | 13 (35) |
| 1 | 20 (54) |
| 2 | 4 (11) |
| Sites of disease (not mutually exclusive) | |
| CNS parenchymal disease | 37 (100) |
| Leptomeningeal disease | 2 (5) |
| Lung | 9 (24) |
| Liver | 10 (27) |
| Bone | 21 (57) |
| Breast or chest wall | 6 (16) |
| Lymph nodes | 6 (16) |
| Number of sites of disease (outside CNS) | Median = 1 (range 0-4) |

Patient Characteristics, cont.

| Baseline Patient Characteristic | N (%) |
|---|------------------------|
| Number of prior chemotherapy agents* (metastatic setting) | Median = 1 (range 0-3) |
| Systemic Treatment (metastatic setting) | |
| Trastuzumab | 33 (89) |
| Taxane | 25 (68) |
| Pertuzumab | 21 (57) |
| Trastuzumab emtansine | 8 (22) |
| Vinorelbine | 4 (11) |
| Other Investigational HER2-directed agents | 5 (14) |
| Platinum | 1 (3) |
| Eribulin | 1 (3) |
| Past Local CNS Treatments | |
| Surgery | 11 (30) |
| SRS | 12 (32) |
| WBRT | 24 (65) |
| ≥ 2 prior local CNS treatments | 13 (35) |
| No prior CNS treatment | 3 (8) |

*Does not include hormonal therapy, antibodies alone, everolimus, or targeted HER2 agents

Primary Endpoint – CNS Volumetric Response

Best CNS Volumetric Response (n=31)*



* 6 patients did not reach first re-staging evaluation and are categorized as '0'

+ No patient had clear increase in steroid use, non-target lesions, non-CNS lesions, or worsening neurological symptoms at time of radiographic response

Secondary Endpoint— CNS ORR by RANO-BM*



* 6 patients did reach first re-staging and are categorized as '0' + No patient had equivocal increase in steroid use, non-target lesions, non-CNS lesions, or worsening neurological symptoms at time of radiographic response

Time to CNS Progression



Overall Survival



Toxicity*

Participants

Percent of

Grade 3 Events Possibly, Probably, Definitely Related to Treatment



*5/21 with prior pertuzumab had grade 3 diarrhea (24%) *7/16 without prior pertuzumab had diarrhea (44%) [2 sided Fisher exact p-value=0.29]

*No grade 4-5 treatment-related events **Toxicity***

Grade 3 Events Possibly, Probably, Definitely Related to Treatment



*5/21 with prior pertuzumab had grade 3 diarrhea (24%) *7/16 without prior pertuzumab had diarrhea (44%) [2 sided Fisher exact p-value=0.29]

Percent of Participants

*No grade 4-5 treatment-related events **Toxicity***

Grade 3 Events Possibly, Probably, Definitely Related to Treatment



*5/21 with prior pertuzumab had grade 3 diarrhea (24%) *7/16 without prior pertuzumab had diarrhea (44%) [2 sided Fisher exact p-value=0.29]

Percent of Participants

*No grade 4-5 treatment-related events

Dose Modifications



Reasons for Cessation of Study Therapy

| Reason off study | N (%) |
|--|---------|
| CNS progression* | 20 (54) |
| CNS and non-CNS progression | 3 (8) |
| Unacceptable toxicity | 7 (19) |
| Physician discretion | 2 (5) |
| Patient withdrawal | 2 (5) |
| Still on study treatment as of April 1, 2017 | 3 (8) |

*including those with symptomatic deterioration and not radiographic progression



Study Conclusions

Neratinib plus capecitabine is an active treatment combination for HER2+ disease metastatic to the CNS in pre-treated patients

- 49% CNS ORR by composite criteria
- 24% CNS ORR by RANO-BM criteria
- Median time to CNS progression = 5.5 months
- Prolonged disease control was seen in many:
 - 51% initiated 6+ cycles of therapy, 19% initiated 10+ cycles
- Although our observed median OS of 13.5 months is similar to that reported in past studies^{1,2}, 49% study patients remain alive as of April 1, 2017

PRESENTED AT: ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17 #ASCO17 Slides are the property of the author. Permission required for reuse. ¹ Cortes et al Lancet Oncology (LUX-Breast 3) 2015, ² Eichler AF, et al. Cancer, 2008

Study Implications and Next Steps (1)

- Multicenter trials for this patient population are feasible and indicative of a significant unmet medical need (95 patients enrolled to cohorts 1-3)
- Our results provide further support for the efficacy of HER2directed systemic therapy for the treatment of breast cancer brain metastases
- Future studies could examine local therapy vs. systemic therapy in CNS disease and further explore the role neratinib-based combination regimens



Study Implications and Next Steps (2)

- Further efforts to optimize toxicity management with neratinibbased regimens will be required to reduce the impact on QOL
 - Alternatives to loperamide are being explored
- Correlative studies in CSF/plasma/tissue, cell-free DNA, and CTCs are forthcoming and we will hope will further inform results

PRESENTED AT: ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17 #ASCO17 Slides are the property of the author. Permission required for reuse.

Special Thanks to ...







... for their support of the TBCRC

...And all of the enrolled patients and their families...



Additional Acknowledgements

- <u>Mentorship and Study Design</u>: Nancy Lin, Eric Winer, TBCRC HER2 working group, Antonio Wolff, TBCRC
- TBCRC support: Robyn Burns and entire TBCRC staff
- <u>Correlative Science</u>: Jean Zhao, Nathalie Agar, Heather Parsons, Rinath Jeselsohn
- <u>Statistics</u>: Rebecca Gelman
- Data and protocol management: Christine Cotter, Sarah Farooq, Anne Cropp, Nicole Ryabin
- <u>Funding Support</u>: Puma Biotechnology, Alan Auerbach, TBCRC
- <u>Collaboration:</u> all TBCRC co-investigators, including additional co-authors Mothaffar Rimawi, Paula Pohlmann, and Nuhad Ibrahim

PRESENTED AT: ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17 #ASCO17 Slides are the property of the author. Permission required for reuse.

Extra Slides - Preclinical Data

PRESENTED AT: ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17 #ASCO17 Presented by:

Neratinib and the CNS

- Activity in CNS not well established but preclinical data suggest penetration
 - Recent, preliminary evidence of inhibition of phosphorylated HER2 in intracranial PDX model of HER2+ breast cancer brain metastases (unpublished data, Zhao laboratory)
- Incidence of brain metastases lower on NEfERT-T trial in those treated with neratinib:
 - Neratinib-paclitaxel (10% incidence of brain mets on study at 24 months)
 - Trastuzumab-paclitaxel (vs. 20%; OR=0.45, p=.004)

#ASCO17

- Time to CNS metastases was delayed in neratinib-treated patients

ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17

DF-BM355



Jing Ni, Zhao Lab, Unpublished